INTRODUCTION

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This Amended Complaint is filed pursuant to Rule 15 (a) (1) (B) of the Federal 2 Rules of Civil Procedure with respect to violations of Constitutional rights under color of state law of Plaintiff Tyrone Holmes ("Holmes"), who is proceeding pro-se in this matter. This Amended Complaint is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985(3), and 1986. The complaint also brings claims of breach of contract, invasion of privacy, the filing of a false report, intentional infliction of emotional distress, wrongful conversion, deprivation of property without due process of law, fraud in the misuse of a computer, used by or for an entity of the United States Government in furtherance of the administration of justice, national defense, or national security pursuant to Section 1030 of the Fraud and related 10 activity in connection with computers, false advertising pursuant to the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125), defamation of character, and failure to train police officers. Holmes is a resident of the Bronx, New York, and has been so for the entirety of the events outlined in this complaint.

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

The subject matter jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (diversity of citizenship), 28 U.S.C. § 1343 (civil rights), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question). The pendent jurisdiction of this Court is also invoked.

The City of New York ("New York") is a municipal entity within the State of New York, The New York Police Department ("NYPD") is the law enforcement agency for New York, Detective Rodrigo Caballero and Sergeant Marlon Ming are employees of the NYPD. The City of Kenner is a municipal entity. Officer Charles Donovan is an employee of the City of Kenner.

Apple, Inc. is a multinational technology firm headquartered in Cupertino, California, doing business in the City and State of New York. Amazon.com, Inc.

- 1 ("Amazon") is a multinational technology and e-commerce company headquartered in
- 2 Seattle, Washington, doing business in the City and State of New York. Checkpoint
- 3 Fluidic Systems International, LTD., also known as CheckPoint Pumps and Systems, is
- a company headquartered in Mandeville, Louisiana, doing business in the City and
- 5 State of New York. FedEx Corporation is a multinational courier and delivery service
- 6 headquartered in Memphis, Tennessee, doing business in the City and State of New
- 7 York. Kenneth Meyer was an employee of FedEx Corporation at the time of the events
- 8 in this complaint.

FACTUAL HISTORY

Apple is the sole manufacturer of MacBook Pro laptop computers. Although Apple sells some of its computers directly to consumers, it authorizes others to be sold by certain third-party distributors. Defendant/ Amazon is one such distributor. Apple manufactured the MacBook Pro laptop at issue in this case, which bears serial number C02RQ1T3G8WN. (A22; A54). Apple's records indicate that on May 5, 2016, it sold an AppleCare Protection Plan to Amazon. (A369) Apple, in turn, sold and shipped that laptop to Amazon. (A20). Apple's records confirm that the shipment to Amazon took place on May 19, 2016. (378; A383)

Check Point purchased the laptop computer bearing serial number,

CO2RQIT3G8WN from Amazon on June 2, 2016. (A-125; A-1300) This computer was packaged for delivery from Amazon's fulfillment center in Breinigsville, Pennsylvania and delivered to CheckPoint on or around June 7, 2016. Upon receiving the laptop on June 7, 2016. a CheckPoint employee installed Kaseya spyware into the computer.

(A31; SPA2). On June 7, 2016, CheckPoint boxed, and shrink wrapped the boxed computer to make the computer appear to be in its original packing and then tendered the computer bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN, to FedEx for shipping on June

7, 2016 who then delivered the packaged computer to an Amazon Fulfillment Center in
Pennsylvania on or about June 7, 2016.

Apple also sells standalone AppleCare Protection Plans, under which Apple agrees to furnish certain services to owners of Apple products who register their products for such a plan. (A392). Amazon is an authorized distributor of such plans. Apple's show that Stephanie Scott on June 23, 2016, registered a computer bearing the serial number (C02RQ1T3G8WN) for that AppleCare Protection Plan. A379-80. In a prior action that is pending appeal, Checkpoint mislead this court of instance claim that there were two computers upon which the this court relied in finding that "The District Court properly found that, on the contrary, the evidence in the record demonstrated that the laptop computer that Amazon delivered to Holmes on June 23, 2016 (the "Holmes Laptop") was shrink-wrapped and in new condition, and that the laptop subsequently recovered from his wife (the "Checkpoint Laptop") was an entirely different device ", knowing that the contrary was true and that this finding by the misled court was not consistent with the facts as CheckPoint knew them to be, since CheckPoint was able through the spy ware, which it had installed on the computer, to compare the serial numbers on the computer delivered to Plaintiff with the serial number on the computer seized from his wife and verify that they were, in fact, the same computer, thereby confirming to CheckPoint, the fact that the computer was never stolen. It also verifies the fact that checkpoint deliberately misled this court and the appellate court about two material facts: firstly, fraudulently claiming that the computer was stolen in Louisiana, knowing that it had not been stolen in Louisiana or

any other place, and secondly, claiming that the computer seized from his wife was a

different computer from that sold and delivered to Plaintiff.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Plaintiff purchased what was advertised as an Apple MacBook Pro in the original 1 packaging from Amazon.com on June 22, 2016. Plaintiff paid \$2,351.12 for the Apple 2 Macbook Pro MJLQ2LL/A 15-inch Laptop (2.2 GHz Intel Core i7 Processor, 16GB RAM, 3 256 GB Hard Drive, Mac OS X) computer, plus Apple Care for the AppleCare warranty. 4 A computer of above description, bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN, had been 5 packaged for delivery to Plaintiff from Amazon's fulfillment center in Lewisberry, 6 Pennsylvania, and was delivered via UPS to Plaintiff at 9:35 AM on June 23, 2016., and 7 on that same day, June 23, 2016, Apple's records show that Stephanie Scott registered and began using the laptop on June 23, 2016. A370. Those records also show that Ms. 9 Scott registered the computer for an AppleCare Protection Plan under her own name. 10 A370; A379; SPA3. That AppleCare Protection Plan remains registered to Ms. Scott. 11 A370; A380. The Plaintiff's wife registered the CO2RQIT3G8WN serial number of this 12 computer with Apple to activate the AppleCare Protection Plan. 13 On August 2, 2016, Officer Charles Donovan of the Kenner Police 14 Department, in Louisiana went to a FedEx location in Kenner, Louisiana and took a 15 stolen computer report from Kenneth A. Meyer, an employee, of FedEx, based on 16 information provided to him by Rusty Mahony a Checkpoint employee. On August 2, 17 2016, the defendant, Checkpoint, fraudulently, falsely, and with malicious intent to 18 deceive the NYPD and others, caused the filing of a false report through its employee, 19 Mahony, acting with FedEx employee, Meyer, claiming that the aforementioned 20 computer had been stolen from Checkpoint, knowing the computer had not been stolen, 21 because CheckPoint had been continuously monitoring the computer through the use of 22 its spyware which it had installed on the computer, since the day the computer was 23 activated on June 23, 2016, On August 2, 2016, the defendant, Checkpoint, caused the 24 filing of a false report through its employee, Mahony and FedEX employee, Meyer, 25

naming the Plaintiff, Tyrone Holmes, and his wife, Stephanie J. Scott, as suspects and
perpetrators of the theft, and that the plaintiff and his wife were in possession of a stolen
computer, bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN. FedEx conspired with
CheckPoint and fraudulently filed the report knowing that they had delivered the
computer that they picked up from checkpoint on June 7, 2016 to Amazon at an

Amazon Fulfillment Center in Pennsylvania.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

On or around September 8, 2016, Detective Rodrigo Caballero ("Caballero") and several other plainclothes New York Police Department ("NYPD") officers showed up at the Plaintiff's residence. The Plaintiff was not home at the time so the NYPD went knocking on several neighbors' door asking about the whereabouts of Holmes. The next day, Caballero called the Plaintiff and told him that NYPD had reason to believe he was in possession of a "stolen" laptop that "belonged to the Department of Defense." The Plaintiff was threatened with "four years in prison" if he did not surrender Apple laptop he purchased from Amazon on June 22.

NYPD based its threats and intimidation on the police report filed August 8, 2016, 15 at the request of Rusty Mahony, an employee of CheckPoint, by Kenneth A. Meyer 16 ("Meyer"), an employee of FedEx Corporation, who resided in Kenner, Louisiana. Meyer 17 contacted Kehner police officer Charles Donovan and reported a stolen Apple Macbook. 18 The police report says Rusty Mahony ("Mahony"), the IT manager for CheckPoint Fluidic 19 Systems International, LTD ("CheckPoint") had used pre-installed spyware on the 20 computer to track it to the Plaintiff in New York and his wife in Orlando (See Exhibit A). 21 The Plaintiff, like any reasonable, rational consumer, believed he purchased a brand 22 new, never-used, untainted Apple MacBook from Amazon.com that arrived shrink-23 wrapped and in new condition. However, this Kenner police report by Mahony and 24 CheckPoint revealed that CheckPoint had pre-installed some sort of spyware on the 25

- Plaintiff's computer and sold it as "brand new" via Amazon.com. Mahony and
- 2 CheckPoint used the spyware previously installed in Plaintiff's computer and to steal
- 3 photos, credit card numbers, passport photos, tax forms, location data, and virtually
- everything else from the computer remotely. Apple and Amazon.com advertised the
- 5 Apple MacBook purchased by the Plaintiff as brand new, when in reality CheckPoint
- 6 had pre-installed spyware on the unit.

The Plaintiff has good reason to believe that Apple, CheckPoint, which is, upon information and belief, an U.S. Department of Defense contractor, and Apple and Amazon, also upon information and belief, are U.S. Department of Defense contractors, have a relationship that involves pre-installing spyware on government computers and selling them as brand new. Plaintiff has suffered damages and is still be suffering damages as a result of this misuse of a government computer.

NYPD called, threatened, and intimidated the Plaintiff everyday through September and early October 2016, telling him he would go to jail for many years if he did not surrer der his alleged "brand new", innocently-purchased Apple computer. Due to the continual threats and the toll it was taking on the Plaintiff and his everyday life, he was forced to hire an attorney and a private investigator to locate and retrieve his innocently purchased, "brand new" computer. Plaintiff suffered all these threats of arrest, invasion of privacy, and other violations despite their being no search warrant or any other legal grounds for arrest or for him to surrender his computer at the demand of the NYPD and Caballero.

Caballero sent an email on September 21, 2016, to Attorney Jeff Garfin, who was representing the Plaintiff at the time, that said, "Good afternoon Mr. Garfin, I'm going to proceed with an arrest for CPSP 4th. Please have your client surrender to the 047 pct tomorrow at 1PM. Thank you, Det. Caballero." The Plaintiff's also received a letter from

- 1 CheckPoint's VP of operation on September 12, 2016 saying that the company has
- 2 been tracking Mr. Holmes and his wife through pre-installed software on the alleged
- 3 "brand new" Apple computer the Plaintiff purchased from Amazon (See Exhibit B).
- 4 Checkpoint also made clear for the client to go through their "mutual contact at NYPD"
- and that the Plaintiff should have "[no] knowledge of our organization" and that
- 6 Checkpoint wished to remain "anonymous." NYPD acted as a security force under
 - color of state law for a private company.

Plaintiff was then forced to hire a private investigator to track down the computer he gave his wife. Though the Plaintiff was facing no warrant and no formal criminal charges, NYPD and Checkpoint continued threatening the Plaintiff with incarceration if he did not return his "brand new" computer that Apple, in conjunction with Checkpoint, apparently had installed spyware on prior to packaging the computer as brand new.

The Plaintiff has been trying to piece his life back together and discover what exactly happened. Plaintiff was diagnosed with post traumatic stress disorder as a result of the episode, has lost nearly all of his business contacts, and is viewed as some sort of criminal by his friends and neighbors despite living his entire life in the Church of God In Christ and being an organist at several churches. The Plaintiff contacted all the actors in this conspiracy to try and resolve the issues without going to court. He received a letter from Amazon counsel on January 17, 2017, informing him they would look into the matter. Plaintiff never heard from them again. FedEx essentially stated, in a letter dated February 13, 2018 that the company has nothing to do with the conspiracy and the matter is "considered closed." Plaintiff also wrote the CEOs of Apple and Amazon to try and get answers, to no avail.

Plaintiff filed a lawsuit in this Court in June of 2017 (17-cv-4557) as it appeared to be the only way to get answers as to why his life had been completely dismantled by

- these companies. Though all of said companies (Amazon, Apple, Checkpoint)
- 2 failed to file any sort of legal answers to the complaint within the time period
- 3 pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a default judgment was not
- 4 granted. The case was dismissed and is currently pending in the Second Circuit Court
- 5 of Appeals.

CAUSES OF ACTION

As a First Cause of Action

BREACH OF CONTRACT BY DEFENDANT, AMAZON

Plaintiff, alleges that

1.

On June 22, 2016, Defendant, AMAZON.COM, LLC, contracted to sell and Tyrone Holmes, Plaintiff herein, agreed to purchase an Apple Macbook Pro MJLQ2LL/A 15-inch Laptop (2.2 GHz Intel Core i7 Processor, 16GB RAM, 256 GB Hard Drive, Mac OS X) computer, bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN, plus Apple Care, from and manufactured by the defendant, APPLE INC., with receipt of even date describing the purchase by Plaintiff for \$2,351.12. The defendant, Amazon, breached the contract the next day by causing to be delivered to Plaintiff, instead of a computer in the original Apple packaging, a computer which had been previously sold on June 7, 2016 to Checkpoint, a defendant herein, bearing the same, CO2RQIT3G8WN, serial number as the computer sold to plaintiff in which the said defendant, Checkpoint, had installed and equipped said computer with spy ware on that date, and repackaged and shrink-wrapped the same to

make it appear as originally packaged from Apple. Said breach of contract of sale caused the Plaintiff to suffer damages.

3

1

2

As a Second Cause of Action

2.

5

6

7

4

BREACH OF CONTRACT BY DEFENDANT, APPLE

Plaintiff, alleges all the allegations of paragraph (1) and further alleges that

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On June 23, 2016, Defendant, APPLE, LLC, formed a contract to certify that the computer purchased the computer which had been sold to Tyrone Holmes, Plaintiff herein, Apple Macbook Pro MJLQ2LL/A 15-inch Laptop (2.2 GHz Intel Core i7 Processor, 16GB RAM, 256 GB Hard . Drive, Mac OS X) computer, bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN, with Apple Care with receipt of previous day describing the said purchase by Plaintiff for \$2,351.12, was in its original shipped condition from Apple by requiring that the serial number from Plaintiff's computer which was manufactured by the defendant, Apple, be registered with Apple. The defendant, Apple, breached the contract that day by not informing the Plaintiff that it could not protect that computer with AppleCare, because his computer was not in its original packaging as required by the terms of the AppleCare Protection Plan. Registering the serial number allowed Apple to determine that instead of a new computer, his computer was the same computer which had been previously sold on June 7, 2016 to Checkpoint, a defendant herein. bearing the same, CO2RQIT3G8WN, serial number as the computer

sold to plaintiff in which the said defendant, Checkpoint, had installed and equipped said computer with spy ware on that date, and repackaged and shrink-wrapped the same to make it appear as new. That as such AppleCare was not available for that computer, since the terms of the AppleCare contract agreement require that the computer be in it original packaging. Failure to inform the plaintiff and his wife at the time of registration was a breach of the AppleCare Protection Plan. Said breach of contract of sale caused the Plaintiff to suffer damages.

As a Third Cause of Action

INVASION OF PRIVACY BY AMAZON

Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of paragraph (1) and further alleges that

3. On June 23, 2016, the Defendant, AMAZON.COM, LLC, intentionally sold and intentionally caused to be delivered, from Amazon's fulfillment center in Lewisberry, Pennsylvania. shrink-wrapped, a MacBook Pro computer, bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN, plus Apple Care, which had been directly sourced from and manufactured by the defendant, APPLE INC., receipted the previous day as purchased by Plaintiff, Tyrone Holmes, a private citizen, doing business as a promoter of religious gatherings and record promotion, which was equipped with spy ware which subsequently resulted in the privacy of Plaintiff being invaded. That invasion of privacy continues to this day as the Plaintiff's personal information and the personal information, including social security numbers, income tax returns, passports, credit card data, and

other business information contained in that computer remains available to the defendant, Checkpoint, and to whomever he has sold or otherwise transferred the said computer, including an unknown party in Russia.

As a Fourth Cause of Action

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INVASION OF PRIVACY BY APPLE

Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of paragraph (1) and further alleges that

On June 23, 2016, the Defendant, Apple, the Plaintiff activated 4. AppleCare by registering his computer by giving to APPLE as required for coverage with AppleCare the serial number of his MacBook Pro computer, bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN, with APPLE INC., which had been previously sold on June 7, 2016 to Checkpoint, bearing the same serial number to equip and install that computer with spy ware. That registration put the defendant, APPLE, on notice that Plaintiff's computer had installed on it spy ware that allowed plaintiff's privacy to be invaded, since it had in its possession at that time the same serial number for both transactions. That invasion of privacy did occur and continues to this day as the Plaintiff's personal information and the personal information of his wife, including social security numbers, income tax returns, passports, credit card data, and other personal and business information contained in that computer remains available to whomever he has possession of the said computer.

As a Fifth Cause of Action

INVASION OF PRIVACY BY CHECKPOINT

Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of paragraph (1-3) and further alleges that

On June 23, 2016, after the Plaintiff turned on his computer the Defendant, CHECKPOINT FLUIDIC SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, began the surveillance of the plaintiff and his family using the spy ware that Checkpoint had installed into Plaintiff's computer on June 7, 2016, and the same computer that CheckPoint had then entrusted to Fed-Ex in Louisiana on June 7, 2016, for delivery, and that computer was delivered to Amazon's fulfillment center in Lewisberry, Pennsylvania. This surveillance has been used to continuously test the effectiveness of CheckPoint's spy ware from June 23, 2016 to August 2, 2016 on which date CheckPoint sought to steal the Plaintiff's computer together with all the information on the Plaintiff's computer by reporting it as stolen, and Plaintiff and his family in possession of stolen property and thereby deprive the plaintiff of his work in the computer, and give access to others, known only to the defendant, CHECKPOINT, of plaintiff's personal information.

As a Sixth Cause of Action

Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of paragraph (4) and further alleges that

FILING A FALSE REPORT BY CHECKPOINT

23 6.

On August 8, 2016, The Defendant, CHECKPOINT FLUIDIC SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, in an misguided effort to steal, recover, and convert to their own use the aforementioned computer and the information

contained therein, which it located by use of the spy ware it had 1 installed, the defendant, Checkpoint, caused the filing of a false police 2 report claiming that the aforementioned computer had been stolen from 3 Checkpoint by the Plaintiff and that the plaintiff and his family were in possession of a stolen computer. 5 6 As a Seventh Cause of Action 7 FILING A FALSE REPORT BY RUSTY MAHONY 8 Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of paragraph (1) and further alleges that 9 10 The Defendant, CHECKPOINT FLUIDIC SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL 7. 11 LTD., in an misguided effort to locate and recover the aforementioned 12 computer the defendant, CheckPoint caused the filing of a false report of 13 a stolen computer, based on false information provided by Checkpoint's 14 employee, a defendant herein, Rusty Mahony, who had access to 15 Plaintiff's computer through the spy ware which he had installed, 16 claiming that Plaintiff had stolen the computer. 17 18 19 As an Eighth Cause of Action 20 INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 21 Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of foregoing paragraphs and further alleges that 22 23 The Defendant, CHECKPOINT FLUIDIC SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, in 8. 24 an misguided effort to locate and recover the aforementioned computer, 25

the defendant caused the filing of a false report claiming that Plaintiff had stolen the computer. Defendant Checkpoint, knew, or had reason to know from his access to the computer, that the computer was not stolen. As a result of the false report filed by an employee of CheckPoint on behalf of the Defendant, CHECKPOINT FLUIDIC SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, the defendant, Police Department of the City of New York seized Plaintiffs computer, bearing serial number, CO2RQIT3G8WN, an on information an belief gave, the computer together with all the information that plaintiff and his wife had entered into the computer from July 23, 2016, to September, 2016 to the defendant, CheckPoint, who thereafter gave, sold, or otherwise transferred the said computer to parties unknown to Plaintiff.

As an Ninth Cause of Action

WRONGFUL CONVERSION BY CHECKPOINT

Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of foregoing paragraphs and further alleges that

9. The Defendant, CHECKPOINT FLUIDIC SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, in an misguided effort to locate and recover the aforementioned computer, the defendant caused the filing of a false report claiming that Plaintiff had stolen the computer. Defendant Checkpoint, knew, or had reason to know from his access to the computer, that the computer was not stolen.

As a result of the false report filed by an employee of CheckPoint on behalf of the Defendant, CHECKPOINT FLUIDIC SYSTEM

INTERNATIONAL, the defendant, Police Department of the City of New York seized Plaintiffs computer, and, on information an belief, gave, the computer together with all the information that plaintiff and his wife had entered into the computer from July 23, 2016, to September, 2016, to the defendant, CheckPoint, who thereafter gave, sold, or otherwise transferred the said computer to parties unknown to Plaintiff.

As an Tenth Cause of Action

10.

WRONGFUL CONVERSION BY NYPD

Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of paragraph (9) and further alleges that

The defendant, Police Department of the City of New York (NYPD), through repeated threats of arrest, issued by Detective, Rodrigo Caballero, of the NYPD over a period of more than a month, took possession of Plaintiffs computer, and, on information an belief gave the computer together with all the information that plaintiff and his wife had entered into the computer from July 23, 2016, to September, 2016 to the defendant, CheckPoint, who thereafter gave, sold, or otherwise transferred the said computer to parties unknown to Plaintiff. The NYPD knew that Plaintiff had lawfully purchased the computer in question from the defendant, Amazon, and flagrantly disregarded that fact causing injury and financial damages to the Plaintiff.

As an Eleventh Cause of Action

DEPRIVATION OF PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF 1 LAW BY NYPD IN VIOLATION OF THE FIFTH, FOURTH, AND 2 FOURTEENTH, AMENDMENTS OF THE CONSTITUTION OF 3 THE UNITED STATES 4 Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of foregoing paragraphs and further alleges that 5 Plaintiff was deprived of property without due process of law when the 11. 6 detective from the defendant, New York Police Department, ordered 7 Plaintiff to return the said computer that he had lawfully purchased on 8 the threat "give it back or four years in prison for criminal possession of 9 stolen property. We don't care how you got it, all we know is we want it 10 back. We don't care about your receipt, we don't care about any of 11 that, but we want it back, and if we don't get it back, you are to turn 12 yourself in tomorrow at 1:00 p.m. at the 47th Precinct". 13 14 As a Twelfth Cause of Action 15 FRAUD AND RELATED ACTIVITY IN CONNECTION WITH COMPUTERS 16 Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of foregoing paragraphs and further alleges that 17 Defendant, FedEx acting in concert with the Defendant CheckPoint, 12. 18 committed fraud in violation of 18 U.S. Code § 1030 in connection with 19 the computer bearing serial CO2RQIT3G8WN by reporting it as stolen 20 on August 2, 2016, to induce the NYPD to seize Plaintiff's computer, 21 knowing that the computer had not been stolen, but delivered two 22 months earlier on or about June 7, 2016, to the defendant, Amazon, at 23 an Amazon Disbursement Center in Pennsylvania, as had been required 24 by their contract with FedEx. The fraud did have its intended effect 25

and did cause the NYPD to seize the computer from plaintiff's wife, inflicting emotional stress on plaintiff and his wife to the extent that their marriage was irreparably damaged. The delay and the reporting the computer as stolen in Louisiana, two months after it had been known by FedEx and CheckPoint to have been delivered by FedEx to Pennsylvania, rather than in New York where defendant, CheckPoint had continuously observed it to be for two months, is further evidence of the malicious and fraudulent intent in the filing of the false report of the computer as being stolen by the Plaintiff and in the possession of plaintiff's wife.

As a Thirteenth Cause of Action

FRAUD AND RELATED ACTIVITY IN CONNECTION WITH COMPUTERS
Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of foregoing paragraphs and further alleges that

Defendants, APPLE, AMAZON, CHECKPOINT, all contractors with the United States Government, misused a computer, used by or for an entity of the United States Government in furtherance of the administration of justice, national defense, or national security by delivering it to Plaintiff, a private United States citizen living in New York City, leaving the computer with Plaintiff for a number of months collecting the personal information of Plaintiff and his wife, and then after these months of observing Plaintiff and his wife, reporting the said computer as stolen by Plaintiff, for which Plaintiff seeks to recover damages pursuant to part (g) of Section 1030 of the Fraud and Related Activity in Connection with

Computers statute, knowing or having reasons to know that the computer had not been stolen by Plaintiff. Plaintiff had to hire a private investigator to locate the computer and hire lawyers to negotiate with the police officer to stave off my imminent arrest at one o'clock every day for 28 days by detectives from the 47th Precinct in the manner of a convicted criminal reporting for parole violation.

VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW (42

As a Fourteenth Cause of Action

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

U.S.C. § 1983, 1985[3], and 1986) AGAINST DEFENDANTS. NYPD, CABALLERO, MING, KENNER, KENNER POLICE DEPARTMENT, DONOVAN, APPLE, FEDEX, AMAZON, MEYER, AND MAHONY Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of foregoing paragraphs and further alleges that The Fifth Amendment, made applicable to the States by the Fourteenth 14 AmendmenTt, guarantees U.S. citizens will not be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of the law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation." Further, the Fourth Amendment, made applicable to the States by the Fourteenth Amendment, protects Americans from unreasonable searches and seizures, arbitrary arrests and harassment by police. The defendants, Meyer, Mahony, FedEx, and Checkpoint, acting in concert with the defendants, New York City Police Department, and Detective Collabra, searched, harassed the plaintiff and his wife for a period of several months, after which, it seized plaintiff's computer, which had been equipped with spyware for a period of several months, seized the

computer without compensating plaintiff or his wife, and made the computer with his personal information others unknown to plaintiff in violation of Federal law.

As a Fifteenth Cause of Action

VIOLATIONS OF THE LANHAM ACT/FALSE ADVERTISING (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A)(B)) BY APPLE AND AMAZON

Plaintiff alleges all the allegations of foregoing paragraphs and further alleges that

15. Apple and Amazon, upon information and belief, have an agreement, which stipulates that Amazon may sell its products as "brand new." The Apple laptop purchased by the Plaintiff from Amazon was advertised as brand new and showed up at the Plaintiff's residence via UPS the next day in shrink wrap and with the AppleCare warranty. However it is abundantly clear that the laptop was not brand new and contained spyware that allowed a third-party company (Checkpoint) to gain access to any/all data on the Plaintiff and his wife. Neither Apple or Amazon disclosed the fact that this laptop was obviously used and came with spyware that the Plaintiff did not agree to purchasing. Brand new means are from all defects and unused by any other party. Had the Plaintiff purchased a used, "as is" computer with this spyware, he would have no cause of action. However, the Plaintiff specifically purchased a brand new computer reasonably expecting exactly that.

As a Sixteenth Cause of Action

FAILURE TO TRAIN AGAINST NYPD, NEW YORK, CABALLERO, AND

MING

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16.

The Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing allegation, as though set forth at length herein.

The U.S. Supreme recognizes failure to train claims "to afford a federal right in federal courts because...claims of citizens to the enjoyment of rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment might be denied by state agencies." Monroe v. Pape, 365 U.S. 180, S. Ct. 473, (1961). New York and NYPD have a long-established policy and custom of denying citizen's rights protected by the Constitution. This Court ruled on the case of Floyd, et al. v. City of New York, et al., 959 F. Supp. 2d 540 (2013) and determined New York's "stop and frisk" policy violated the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. NYPD and New York wantonly violated all due process and civil rights of the Plaintiff by repeatedly threatening him with prison and forcing him to spend his own money on lawyers and private investigators as NYPD acted as the private security force for FedEx and Checkpoint. Police officers are not necessarily here to protect and serve the people (See DeShanev v. Winnebago Ctv. DSS, 489 U.S. 189 (1989)) but they are certainly not here to act as private security and intimidators for corporations. NYPD allowed all of this behavior to happen, with the direct supervisor of this operation, Ming, doing nothing to stop it. These acts and omissions by the Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, were willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive and justify the Plaintiff being awarded actual, compensatory, and punitive damages

against the Defendants in both their individual and official capacities 1 where applicable. 2 As a Seventeenth Cause of Action 3 ATTORNEY FEES for NYPD VIOLATION OF FEDERAL RIGHTS 4 The Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing allegation, as 5 though set forth at length herein. 6 7 The defendant, NYPD, acting under the color of law, seized and converted the 17, 8 plaintiff's computer, without regard to whether he was lawfully in possession of 9 the computer, which he had purchased as a new computer from the defendant 10 Amazon and registered the serial number CO2RQIT3G8WN with Apple for 11 AppleCare protection, several months earlier and deprived the Plaintiff and his 12 wife of rights guaranteed by the constitution of the United States. The Fifth 13 Amendment, made applicable to the States by the Fourteenth Amendment, 14 guarantees U.S. citizens will not be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without 15 due process of the law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, 16 withdut just compensation." Further, the Fourth Amendment, made applicable to 17 the States by the Fourteenth Amendment, protects Americans from 18 unreasonable searches and seizures, arbitrary arrests and harassment by 19 police. In an action for damages as a result of the foregoing violation of NYPD 20 acting under color of law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover and demands attorney 21 fees. 22 As a Eighteenth Cause of Action 23 ATTORNEY FEES AND DAMAGES Rodrigo Caballero's VIOLATION OF 24 PLAINTIFF'S FEDERAL RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW 25

1 The Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing allegation, as

2 though set forth at length herein.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

The defendant, Rodrigo Caballero, acting under the color of law, seized and 18. converted the plaintiff's computer, without regard to whether he was lawfully in possession of the computer, which he had purchased as a new computer from the defendant Amazon and registered serial number CO2RQIT3G8WN with Apple for AppleCare protection, several months earlier and deprived the Plaintiff and his wife of rights guaranteed by the constitution of the United States. The Fifth Amendment, made applicable to the States by the Fourteenth Amendment, guarantees U.S. citizens will not be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of the law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation." Further, the Fourth Amendment, made applicable to the States by the Fourteenth Amendment, protects Americans from unreasonable searches and seizures, arbitrary arrests and harassment by police. In an action for damages as a result of the foregoing violation of NYPD acting under color of law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover and demands attorney fees.

As a Nineteenth Cause of Action

20 IDENTITY THEFT CAUSED BY WRONGFUL CONVERSION OF
21 PLAINTIFF'THE DEFENDANT CHECKPOINT

The Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing allegation, as though set forth at length herein.

24

22

23

19. As a direct result of the wrongful conversion of plaintiff's computer, together with all the information that plaintiff and his wife had entered into this computer, wrongfully converted by the by the defendant, CheckPoint, Plaintiff has suffered identity theft. The Apple ID of plaintiff was used to sign in to a new web browser.

Date and Time: Sunday, December 9, 2018 IP Address: 95.31.18.119

Country: Russia

Plaintiff seeks damages for identity theft.

<u>DAMAGES</u>

Plaintiff seeks to recover damages pursuant to part (g) of Section 1030 of the Fraud and related activity in connection with computers, knew or had reasons to know that Plaintiff had not stolen the computer. Plaintiff had to hire a private investigator to locate the computer and hire lawyers to negotiate with the police officer to stave off my imminent arrest at one o'clock every day for 28 days by detectives from the 47th Precinct in the manner of a convicted criminal reporting for parole violation.

As a result of the false advertising by Apple and Amazon, the Plaintiff was harassed, intimidated, and threatened by two police departments, was forced to expend thousands of dollars on attorneys, paralegals and private investigators; has lost his good standing in the church and within his community; and has been diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder. These acts and omissions by the Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, were willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive and justify the Plaintiff being awarded actual, compensatory, and punitive damages against the Defendants in both their individual and official capacities where applicable

PRAYER FOR RELIEF Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing allegation, as though set forth at length herein. The Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court grant relief in the form of actual, compensatory, and punitive damages against all named Defendants in their individual and official capacities; and against any Defendant yet to be named. The Plaintiff also respectfully requests declaratory relief, and asks this Court to rule that Apple is in fact pre-installing spyware on computers it advertises as brand new and sells them through Amazon. The declaration should also make clear that Apple is falsely advertising new computers that are obviously tainted with spyware and other tools that enable third parties to spy on unwitting consumers. Respectfully submitted this ____/_Z + day of June, 2019. Tyrone Holmes (pro se Plaintiff)

ARCHIVE 08/07/2016 01:31 of 5 Printed by: FICIMPORT Page 1 Time Date Signal Rep Area liem# Report Type KPD CRIME REPORT 02-AUG-2016 1136 9797 H-80071-16 INITIAL 67 ADM SEC ORTLA0260300 Location of Offense End Time Day of Wk. Begin Date Begin Time End Date 300 MIDDLE ACCESS RD KENNER 70062 2103 02-AUG-2016 1136 TUE 07-JUN-2016 Supervisor Responding Detective Reporting Officer CHARLES DONOVAN JP: PR: PR: JP: PR: KD5180 JP: K716 Notified: Notifie d: 021136 Arrived: Arrived: Amved: Completed: 060931 REPORTING PERSON Sex: MALE Race: WHITE Name: KENNETH A MEYER Employed School: FEDEX DOB: Address: 300 MIDDLE ACCESS RD Address: 300 MIDDLE ACCESS RD City/80/Zip: KENNER, LA 70062 City/St/Zip: KENNER, LA 70062 Phone: (504) 472-3321 Phone: (504) 458-7955 Voluntary Statement? N Identify Suspect? N **VICTIM** Type: BUSINESS For Insurance Purposes? Victim Sequence Number: 1 Name: CHECKPOINT PUMPS & SYSTEMS Address: 21356 MARION LN City/St/Zip: MANDEVILLE, LA 70471 Phone: (504) 985-2463 Ext Ext; 142 OFFENSE Offense Sequence Number: Victim: 1 Suspect Title: POSSESSION STOLEN THINGS R.S. Number RS 14:69 Number of Premises: Location Type: OTHER / UNKNOWN Attempted/Completed: COMPLETED Criminal Activity 1: OTHER Weapon/Force Type 1: NONE SUSPECT Suspect Sequence Number: Sex: FEMALE Name: STEPHANIE J SCOTT Race: BLACK To: Ethnicity: NON-HIS PANIC Resident? DOB: Age From: 31 Eye Color. UNKNOWN Height From: To: Weight From: To: Hair Longth: Hair Color: BLACK City/St/Zip: NEW YORK, LA NEW YORK Address: Gang Related: Alcohol Consumed? Gaming Motive? Drugs Used? Computer Used? Armed: Other Hate/Bias: NONE Can be Identified by Witness: Identifiable Features: Location: Local Check? State Check? NCIC Check? Voluntary Statement? Amest Type: NONE

Suspect Injury Codes: NONE

₩

Redaded

Redacte

ARCHIVE 08/07/2016 01:31 2 of 5 Printed by: FICIMPORT Page Suspect Sequence Number: 2 Sex: MALE Race:BLACK Name: TYRONE HOLMES Age From: 48 To: Ethnicity: NON-HIS PANIC Resident? DOB: Eye Color: BROWN Height From: 505 Weight From: 130 To: Hair Length: EAR TO SHOULDER Hair Color: BLACK City/St/Zip: ATLANTA, GA 30316 Address: Gaming Motive? Gang Related: Alcohol Consumed? Drugs Used? Computer Used? Hate/Bias: NONE Amed: Other Can be Identified by Witness: Identifiable Features: Location: NCIC Check? State Check? Local Check? Arrest Type: NONE Voluntary Statement? Suspect Injury Codes: NONE ADDITIONAL WITNESS Witness Sequence Number: 1 Name: RUSTY MAHONY DOB/PR: Under the Influence? Can Witness ID Suspect? Address: 21356 MARION LN Present During Incident? Voluntary Statement? City/St/Zip: MANDEVILLE, LA 70471 Phone: (504) 249-0645 PROPERTY Desc. Code: 07 - COMPUTER HDW/SOFTWARE VICTIM 1 Property Owner or Property rovd from: Value: \$1,983 Insured: Quantity: 1 Loss Type: 7 - STOLEN Make: APPLE MACBOOK PRO Owner-applied Number. Serial Number: C02RQIT3G8WN Model: MLJ Q2LL Date Recovered: Description: 15 INCH LAPTOP, 2.2 GHZ INTEL CORE I7 PROCESSOR, VEHICLE None CRIME SCENE None INVESTIGATION Security Device Installed? Type of Force Used: Security Device Activated? Point of Entry: Alcoholic Beverage Outlet? Gambling Devices on Premises? Safe Job? Number of Prior Cases: Prior History Documented? Prior History of Domestic Violence? Modus Operandi (MO): COMPUTER WAS STOLEN, LAST SCANNED AT FEDEX, PERSONS OF INTEREST ARE IN POSSESSION, IT REP PINGS COMPUTER LOCATION OUT OF STATE INS URANCE None APPROVAL Dist. Cmdr. Viewed Lieutenant Viewed Sergeant Viewed User ID: KPD S7925 Date/Time: 06-AUG-2016 10:35 User ID: KP D_S 7925

Date/Time: 06-AUG-2016 10:35

ARCHIVE

Printed by: FICIMPORT

08/07/2016 01:31

Page 3

of 5

FINAL APPROVAL

Crime Prevention Officer Required?

Issued Complaint Slip?

911 Notified?

Warrant?

Parade Related?

Crime Scene Required?

Report Status: COMPLETED

Exceptional Clearance:

District Follow Up?

Bureau Follow Up?

Date:

...

-21--

ARCHIVE

Printed by: FICIMPORT

08/07/2016 01:31

Page 4

of 5

NARRATIVE

Time Stamp: 08/06/2016 09:31

Written By: CHARLES DONOVAN

ON AUGUST 2, 2016 AT APPROXIMATELY 1124 HOURS, OFFICER CHARLES DONOVAN OF THE KENNER POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS DISPATCHED TO THE FEDEX SHIPPING BUILDING LOCATED AT 300 MIDDLE ACCESS RD. KENNER LA, 70062 IN REFERENCE TO A THEFT.

UPON ARRIVAL AT APPROXIMATELY 1136 HOURS, OFFICER DONOVAN MET WITH THE REPORTING PERSON, MR. KENNETH MEYER. MR. MEYER IS EMPLOYED BY FEDEX AS A LOSS PREVENTION MANAGER. MR. MEYER EXPLAINED THAT HE WAS INVESTIGATING A THEFT OF A COMPUTER. MR. MEYER HAD BEEN IN CONTACT WITH INVOLVED PERSON MR. RUSTY MAHONY, THE IT MANAGER FOR CHECKPOINT PUMPS & SYSTEMS COMPANY LOCATED AT 21356 MARION LANE, MANDEVILLE, LA, 70471.

MR. MAHONY'S COMPANY PURCHASED A NEW COMPUTER AND HE DOWNLOADED ALL NECESSARY COMPANY DATA ON THE COMPUTER. MR. MAHONY THEN SHIPPED THE COMPUTER FROM MANDEVILLE ON JUNE 7, 2016 TO AN ADDRESS OUT OF THE COUNTRY, DUBALCITY.

DURING THE COURSE OF SHIPPING THE COMPUTER FROM MANDEVILLE THE COMPUTER WAS SHIPPED TO 300 MIDDLE ACCESS ROAD, KENNER LOUISIANA PRIOR TO FURTHER SHIPMENT. THE COMPUTER WAS ALSO LAST SCANNED AT THE FEDEX LOCATION IN KENNER ON JUNE 7, 2016 AT 2103 HOURS.

SHORTLY AFTER THE COMPUTER WAS STOLEN, MR. MAHONY WAS ABLE TO ACCESS THE COMPUTER EACH TIME THE SUSPECT/USER LOGGED INTO AN INTERNET SERVICE. MR. MAHONY WAS ABLE TO DOWNLOAD MULTIPLE PHOTOGRAPHS, VIDEOS AND PERSONAL INFORMATION FROM THE USER.

BASED ON MR. MAHONY'S ABILITY TO ACCESS THE PERSONAL INFORMATION ON THE COMPUTER IT IS HIS BELIEF THE PERSON IN POSSESSION OF THE COMPUTER IS A BLACK FEMALE, STEPHANIE SCOTT. MR. MAHONY PROVIDED MR. MEYER WHO ULTIMATELY PROVIDED OFFICER DONOVAN WITH THE FOLLOWING COPIES OF SCOTT'S PERSONAL FORMS.

- 1. FEDERAL TAX FORM W-9
- 2. INDEPENDENT ASSOCIATE AGREEMENT TVC MARKETING ASSOCIATES, INC.
- 3. A UNITED STATES PASSPORT
- 4. CHASE BUSINESS DEBIT CARD
- 5. A PHOTOGRAPH OF WHAT IS BELIEVED TO BE SCOTT WEARING A WIG

IT SHOULD BE NOTED A PHOTOGRAPH OF A BLACK MALE'S GEORGIA'S DRIVER'S LICENSE WAS ALSO PROVIDED, TYRONE HOLMES.

ADDRESS PROVIDED FOR SCOTT WAS IN NEW YORK WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH WHERE MR. MAHONY WAS ABLE TO DETERMINE THAT THE OPERATOR OF THE COMPUTER SIGNS INTO INTERNET SERVICE UNTIL RECENTLY, JULY 31, 2016 AT 1244 HOURS, WHEN THE COMPUTER WAS BEING USED IN ORLANDA FLORIDA.

OFFICER DONOVAN CONTACTED MR. MAHONY AND LEARNED THAT HE CAN PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION IF THE CASE IS INVESTIGATED FURTHER.

THE COMPUTER IS AS APPLE MACBOOK PRO MJ LQ2LL/A-15-INCH LAPTOP. (2.2 GHZ INTEL CORE I7 PROCESSOR, 16GB, RAM, 256 GB HARD DRIVE, MAC OS X), SERIAL # (C02RQ1T3G8WN) WORTH \$1.982.93.

IT SHOULD BE NOTED MR. MEYER WAS IN CONTACT WITH A OFFICER FROM NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT WHO INFORMED MR. MEYER THAT IF HE HAD A ITEM NUMBER FROM A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FROM WHERE THE THEFT OCCURRED THE NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT WOULD ASSIST IN THE INVESTIGATION.

H-800/1-16

ARCHIVE

Printed by: FICIMPORT 08/07/2016 01:31 Page 5 of 5

OFFICER DONOVAN CONTACTED KPD NCIC OPERATOR 673 WHO LOGGED THE COMPUTER AS STOLEN IN THE NCIC DATABASE.

ALL DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO THIS CASE WERE TURNED INTO KENNER POLICE RECORDS WITH THIS REPORT.

CASE SUSPENDED.

H-80071-1



Mandeville, US 21356 Marton Lane Mandeville, LA 70471 USA +1 (800) 847-PUMP (7867)

Aberdeen, UK Unlt.C2/C3 Lombard Centre Kirkhili Place Dyce, Aberdeen AB21 0GU Scotland + 44 (0)1224 775205

> **Dubai, UAE** Jébel Ali Free Zoné PO Box 262131 Dubal, UAE

> > Singapore tel: +65 6261 7687 fax: +65 6261 7686

+971 (50) 240 4737

September 12, 2016

Mr. Garfin Counsel for Mr. Tyrone Holmes

Dear Mr. Garfin,

Please be advised that our Information Security department has ascertained information proving that your client is in possession of our equipment. This equipment has been tracked since leaving our facility. We have legally collected ample information to prove that your client is in possession of property belonging to CheckPoint Fluidic Systems International. If this equipment is returned immediately, in its original condition complete with all original parts and pieces, we will not move further to pursue legal action. I have no knowledge or interest in identifying your client or learning further about the details, which put him in possession of our equipment.

As a further condition of this agreement, I would require this matter be resolved through our mutual contact at the NYPD, Detective Rodrigo Caballero. I see no reason for your client, Mr. Holmes, to have any knowledge of our organization or its employees. To conclude our arrangement, please certify that our organization and staff shall remain anonymous to your client.

Regards,

Bobbi Jo Bridges VP Operations